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## WHAT IS KNOWN?

- $N=1$ is easy: sender's value $=\operatorname{cav}[u](p)$
- Kamenica, Gentzkow (2011)
- $N \geq 2$ is hard: feasible distributions can be complex
- Arieli, Babichenko, Sandomirskiy, Tamuz (2021), Brooks, Frankel, Kamenica (2022)
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## OBSERVATION

( $\mu^{\ell}, \mu^{h}$ ) and ( $\nu^{\ell}, \nu^{h}$ ) with the same 1-dimensional marginals are feasible simultaneously

- Corollary: persuasion = nested optimisation over marginals and then over joint distributions with given marginals
MULTI-AGENT PERSUASION = OPTIMAL TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM!
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Find:

$$
T\left[u, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right]=\max _{\substack{\gamma \text { on }[0,1]^{2}}} \int_{[0,1]^{2}} u(x, y) d \gamma(x, y)
$$

-Interpretation: given spacial distribution of production and consumption, minimise the cost of transportation / maximise the utility
,Remark: fractional maximal-weight matching
-Archetypal coupling problem, many econ applications:

- Daskalakis et al. (2017), Kleiner, Manelli (2019), Boerma et al. (2021), Chiapporiet et al. (2010), Galichon (2021), Steinerberger, Tsyvinski (2019), Gensbittel (2015), Guo, Shmaya (2021), Cieslak, Malamud, Schrimpf (2021)
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$$
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$$

## WHY USEFUL?

- connection to extensive math transportation literature
- simplification for particular classes of utilities
- one-state, supermodular, submodular
- tractable dual extending 1-receiver results:
- cav[ $u$ ]-theorem by Kamenica, Gentzkow (2011) and duality by Dworczak, Kolotilin (2017)
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## Value of a persuasion problem $\left(p, u^{\ell}, u^{h}\right)$ equals

 $\min \left[(1-p) \cdot V^{\ell}+p \cdot V^{h}\right]$ admissible numbers$$
V^{\ell}, V^{h}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{l}, V^{h} \text { are admissible } \Longleftrightarrow \exists \text { functions } \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \text { on }[0,1] \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{r}
=v^{\ell} \\
u^{\ell}(x, y) \leq V^{l}+x \cdot \alpha_{1}(x)+y \cdot \alpha_{2}(y) \\
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- $\operatorname{cav}[u]$-theorem has a similar form: convexity $\Leftrightarrow$ a condition that non-revealing is optimal
- dual solution = certificate of optimality: verifies guessed solution to the primal
- gives a class of problems where full-information/partial-information signals are optimal
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## THANK YOU!

